## Application N . Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	09/778,863	SATO, RYUJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Firmin Backer	3621
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) <u>Firmin Backer</u> .	(3) <u>James Howard</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2004.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: 6,081,790.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant clearly discussed the distinction between the prior art the disclosed inventive concept.</u> Applicant's pointed out that the concept of using an intermediary in the transaction is not taught in the prior art. Applicant is advised to submit an official response for further consideration.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	$\bigcap$	0

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required